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1. HE gammas
2. HE neutrinos
3. HE cosmic rays
4. Gravitational waves
5. Dark matter
6. ν-mass
7. ν-mixing & p-decay

CMB
Dark Energy

RB’s comment: But... at least 4 -5 domains have not seen a signal yet
Well 2 of the domains have detected a signal in the first 3 years.

**PeV neutrinos 2013**

The search of point sources for HE cosmic-rays, neutrinos and GW (better pointing) ongoing.

**GW1509-2014**
APPEC Roadmap: the priorities of the first European “decadal survey” and its mid-decadal update

- **2011** The first APPEC priority roadmap:
  
  I. Complete the upgrades:
  - ✓ Adv. Gravitational Wave antennas
  - ✓ Underground Science a (1 ton Dark Matter)
  - • Underground Science b (1 ton νless2β decay)
  
  II. Prepare construction of large CR programs:
  - ✓ CTA (TGIR)
  - • KM3Net/IceCube (ESFRI, IR FdR France)
  - • AUGER upgrade (upgrade TDR 2014)
  
  III. Global coordination for very large projects:
  - • Dark Energy (LSST-EUCLID data sharing?)
  - • Large neutrino detectors (APPEC global workshop DUNE)
  - • CMB (APPEC global workshop DS CMB-S4)

- ➔ **2016** Roadmap “mid-decadal” “resource aware update

*A. Masiero (chair), Michal Ostrowski, Mauro Mezzetto, Gisela Anton, Laura Baudis, Jocelyn Monroe, Petr Tiniakov, Jo van den Brand, Patrick Sutton, Ramon Miquel, Zito Marco, Andrea Giuliani, Felix Aharonian, Pierre Binétruy, Ignatios Antoniadis, Yifang Wang, Francis Halzen, Hank Sobel, A. Haungs, S. Katsanevas (APPEC)
APPEC: global workshops (APC Functional centre)

International meeting for large ν-infrastructures

Florence (Villa Finally)
CMB workshops

Towards the European Coordination of the CMB program

Speakers:

1. June 2014 (Paris) → LBNF/DUNE
2. April 2015 (Chicago)
3. May 2016 (Tokyo)
4. Autumn 2017 (CERN)

1. September 2015
2. September 2016
3. September 2017

→ DS of a EU ground-based CMB-S4
APPEC: roadmapping

- HE universe
- gammas
- neutrinos
- cosmic rays
- gravitational waves
- Dark universe
- dark matter
- dark energy
- Early universe
- CMB
- ν-properties
- mass, mixing, ...
APPEC: roadmapping

2008

2011

Astroparticle physics
The European Roadmap

2017

resource aware
APPEC: *Town Meeting → roadmap*

- SAC preparation
- Considerations published for community comments
- Appec Town meeting (April, Paris 2016),
- Approved by APPEC GA November 2016
- Roadmap will be published in Spring 2017
European Strategy for Astroparticle Physics
4 domains

i. **Extreme Universe:** What can we learn about the cataclysmic events in our Universe by combining all messengers?

ii. **Dark Universe:** What is the nature of Dark Matter and Dark Energy?

iii. **Neutrinos:** What are the intricate properties of neutrinos and what can they tell us?

iv. **Early Universe:** What else can we learn about the Big Bang from the cosmic microwave background?

- Two emergent characteristics of the present era:
  I. We are at the edge of multi-messenger detections involving high energy photons, neutrinos, high-energy charged particles and gravitational waves, that will give us a deeper understanding of violent phenomena regulating structure formation in the Universe as well as eventually hints for new laws of physics
  II. The visible Universe from the CMB to the present started to provide comparable constraints to the standard models of cosmology (inflation, dark energy) and particle physics (neutrino, dark matter)
High Energy Universe Science
APPEC Recommendations

1. APPEC fully supports the CTA collaboration to secure the funding for a timely and cost effective realisation and subsequent long-term operation of this observatory covering both southern & northern hemispheres.

2. For the northern site, APPEC strongly endorses the KM3NeT collaboration’s ambitions to realize by 2020 a large-volume telescope with optimal angular resolution for high-energy neutrino astronomy and a dedicated detector optimized for low-energy neutrinos primarily aiming to resolve the neutrino mass-hierarchy. For the southern site, APPEC looks forward to a positive decision in the USA regarding IceCube-Gen2.

3. APPEC strongly supports the Auger collaboration to install AugerPrime by 2019. At the same time, APPEC urges the community to continue R&D towards alternative technologies that are cost-effective and provide 100% (day and night) duty cycle so that ultimately the full sky can be observed with very large observatories.

4. With its global partners and in consultation with GWIC, APPEC will define the timelines for upgrades of existing as well as next-generation ground-based interferometers. APPEC strongly supports further actions strengthening the collaboration between gravitational-wave laboratories. APPEC strongly supports Europe’s next generation ground-based interferometer, the ‘Einstein Telescope’ (ET) project, to develop the required technology and acquire ESFRI status. Regarding space-based interferometry, APPEC strongly supports the European LISA proposal.
Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA) TGIR

- few large telescopes for lowest energies
- \(~\text{km}^2\) array of medium-sized telescopes
- \(\sim 7\) km\(^2\) array of small telescopes,
- \(\sim 70\) SSTs, \(\sim 25\) MSTs plus \(\sim 24\) SCTs extension

Start of Construction 2018
Construction time: 5 years
Future high energy $\gamma$ sensitivities

PeVatrons

CTA is complemented by PeV scale wide field observatories: HAWC (constructed) and LHASSO under construction (2020)
High Energy Neutrino Telescopes

KiloMetre$^2$ Neutrino Telescope: KM3NeT

ARCA/ORBICA

Depth:
- Toulon (2000 m)
- Italy (3400 m)

BAIKAL GVD

Surface
- 0 m
- 25 m
- 941 m
- 3276 m
- 3966 m

LAKE FLOOR

"DUBNA"

FIRST CLUSTER OF BAIKAL GVD

GEN$^2$ (2022-24)
PINGU?
Mass hierarchy
Atmospheric, Reactor, Accelerator

T2K/NOVA 50% prob :3σ
JUNO 3-4 σ in 6 years
ORCA 3σ in 3 years,

3σ 2023-25  5σ  2030
Gravitational waves
A worldwide antenna network

- LIGO Hanford, 4 km
- Virgo, Cascina, 3 km
- LIGO Livingston, 4 km
- INDIGO
- TAMA, Tokyo, 300 m (LCGT 3km being started)
- KAGRA, 3km Cryogenic

Benefits:
- Confidence in detection
- Sky coverage
- Duty cycle
- Sky position localization

LIGO/VIRGO+ : squeezing, bigger mirrors and laser, event rate x5, 2018-2025 O(100M)

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Source} & \text{Low yr}^{-1} & \text{Real yr}^{-1} & \text{Max yr}^{-1} \\
\hline
\text{NS-NS} & 0.4 & 40 & 100 \\
\text{NS-BH} & 0.2 & 10 & 100 \\
\text{BH-BH} & 0.4 & 20 & 1000 \\
\text{Advanced} & & & \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Mass: NS = 1.4 Mo
BH = 10 Mo

Advanced era
Sky location and orientation averaged range:
- 197 Mpc for NS-NS
- 410 Mpc for NS-BH
- 968 Mpc for BH-BH

(Abadie et al. 2010, CQG 37)
Gravitational waves
Einstein Telescope (ET) and LISA

LISA (ESA L3: 2034 → 2030 ?)
- 0.1-100 mHz ⇒ 1-1000 TeV (LHC)
- Phase transitions,
- Topological defects...
- Higgs self-couplings and potential
- Supersymmetry
- Extra dimensions
- Strings
High energy cosmic rays

SCIENCE GOALS OF AUGER-Prime
- Study composition event by event.
- Measure the muonic component of the showers. p or Fe?
- Origin of the flux suppression
- Astronomy through tagged protons
- Particle physics at 70 TeV
The future of UHECRs: the space road

I – Successful pathfinders of the past
- EUSO-Balloon (CNES mission, France: leadership, P.I.)

II – Current pathfinders
- EUSO-SPB (NASA mission, launch March 2017)
- Mini-EUSO (ASI+ROSCOSMOS mission, France: subsystems leadership + focal surface integration)

III – Planned future mission
- K-EUSO (ROSCOSMOS, 2021–)

IV – Longer
- CHANT, POEMMA, EUSO-FF
- Space (ISS) 4 x Auger full sky
- Breakthrough multi-messenger mission 20 x Auger + full sky + HE neutrinos

Ground
Balloon
Balloon (Long duration)
Space (ISS)
Space (free flyer)
Did we reach finally the sensitivities necessary for multi-messenger studies?

**HESS Pevatron**

**UHECR vs HEN**

**INTEGRAL GW140915**

**ANTARES GW140915**

Also space missions (SVOM)
5. **APPEC encourages the continuation of a diverse and vibrant program (experiments as well as detector R&D) searching for WIMPs and non-WIMP Dark Matter. Together with its global partners, APPEC aims to converge around 2019 on a strategy of how to realize worldwide at least one ‘ultimate’ xenon (order 50 tons) and one argon (order 300 tons) based Dark-Matter detector as advocated by the **DARWIN and ARGO** proponents, respectively.**

- A suite of smaller-scale experiments explores in particular the low-mass WIMP and other Dark-Matter hypotheses such as dark photons and axions.

6. **APPEC strongly supports the present range of direct neutrino mass measurements and searches for neutrino-less double beta-decay. Guided by the results of running experiments and in consultation with its global partners, APPEC intends to converge on a roadmap for the next generation of neutrino mass & nature experiments by 2020.**

- **APPEC will support, in this domain, efforts of convergence to optimal technologies in a global context in the next 1-2 years.**
Future sensitivities
dark matter

• G2 will reach $10^{-47}$ cm$^2$ at 100 GeV in 2020-2025

• Large European G3 will approach neutrino floor ca 2030

• Extreme purities needed:
  • <0.1 event/ton/year


✓ Costs and scales make global coordination imperative
0νββ future sensitivities

\[
(T_{1/2}^{0\nu})^{-1} = \left( \frac{m_{\beta\beta}}{m_e} \right)^2 \frac{1}{g_A^4} \left| M^{0\nu}_\nu \right|^2 G^{0\nu}
\]

GERDA-1/KAMLAND/EXO-200 (140-300 meV, $10^{25}$y) today

GERDA-2 (75 - 129 meV, $10^{26}$y)  
CUORE (51 – 133 meV)  
NEXT, SuperNEMO (100Kg)  
In 5-6 years, by 2020

Scintillating bolometers  
(350 kg, 5 y) (13 – 36 meV)  
Initial nEXO (5 tons,10 y) (10 – 30 meV)  
Similar sensitivites from GERDA-3/Majorana and upgrade of KamLAND-Zen  
Lower limit of IH by 2025 ?

Global coordination also needed
7. APPEC initiated the global coordination and presently endorses a global neutrino program: DUNE (US), JUNO (China) and HyperKamioka (Japan).

8. APPEC supports the forthcoming ESA Euclid satellite mission which will establish clear European leadership in space-based Dark-Energy research. Because of the complementarity to Euclid, APPEC encourages continued European participation in the DESI and LSST projects, the USA led ground-based Dark-Energy research. To fully profit from the combined power of satellite and ground-based experiments, the exchange of data is imperative.

9. APPEC strongly endorses the proposed European CORE satellite mission to map the CMB from space. APPEC will encourage detector R&D towards a next generation ground-based CMB experiment complementary to initiatives in the USA. APPEC continues to contribute to the global coordination of the field following the ‘Florence CMB workshop’ series started in 2015.

NEW: CORE Is not in the short list published by the technological committee for “technological and programmatic reasons”. Decisions taken without consultation with SSAC. SSAC currently deliberating.
The unified study of the visible (and invisible) Universe

- $z < 2 \times 10^6$
  - Thermal history
  - (energy injection into the CMB)

- $z \approx 6-11$
  - Reionization

- $z \approx 0-1$
  - Integrated Sach Wolfe
  - Accelerated expansion

- Inflation
  - Physics at $\approx 10^{16}$ GeV
  - $E > 10^{12} \times E_{\text{LHC}}$

- $z \approx 1-3$
  - Gravitational lensing
  - Dark matter distribution

- Current Cosmology (95% U.L.)
- Future Cosmology
- GRTAS
- C. 2020 (95% U.L.)
Prospective CMB on ground, CMB-S4

Approximate raw experimental sensitivity (μK)

- WMAP
- Planck

Stage II
Now
~1000 detectors

Stage III
ramping up
~10,000 detectors

Stage IV
~2020 - CMB-S4
~500,000 detectors

Increasing detector count
(The trend being followed by all CMB projects, not just SPT)

CMB-S4: A coordinated community-wide program to put order 500,000 detectors spanning 30 - 300 GHz using multiple telescopes and sites to map ≥70% of sky.

- $r=0.1$, $\delta(\Sigma m_\nu)=0.15$
- $r=0.01$, $\delta(\Sigma m_\nu)=0.05$
- $r=0.001$, $\delta(\Sigma m_\nu)=0.015$
European contribution to CMB-S4, subject of a proposal for a H2020 Design Study (Coordinator K. Ganga) under preparation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WP1 Management</th>
<th>WP2 Requirements &amp; Analysis</th>
<th>WP3 Site Evaluation</th>
<th>WP4 Telescope &amp; Optics</th>
<th>WP5 Focal Plane &amp; Detectors</th>
<th>WP6 Construction &amp; Operation</th>
<th>WP7 Design Comparison</th>
<th>WP8 Governance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td>R, $\Sigma_{\nu}$, DE, Tau, ...</td>
<td>Atmospheric Testing</td>
<td>Cost of Large Aperture Telescope</td>
<td>Cost of 100000 KIDS</td>
<td>Cost of Infrastructure At Dome-C</td>
<td>Cost of “Tight” Integration</td>
<td>Outreach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Ancillary Data Needs</td>
<td>Dome C Evaluation</td>
<td>Cost of Small Aperture Telescope</td>
<td>Cost of 100000 TESs</td>
<td>Cost of Infrastructure At Tenerife</td>
<td>Cost of “Loose” Integration</td>
<td>Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling</td>
<td>Work with Satellites</td>
<td>Argentina Evaluation</td>
<td>Polarization Modulation</td>
<td>Multi-chronic Detector Design</td>
<td>Cost of Infrastructure At US-S4 Site</td>
<td>Cost of Other Scenario</td>
<td>Data Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>Work with Balloons &amp; Other Expts.</td>
<td>Tenerife Evaluation</td>
<td>Lenses</td>
<td>Readouts</td>
<td>Cost of Infrastructure In Argentina</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Contacts</td>
<td>Scanning</td>
<td>ALMA Chile Site Evaluation</td>
<td>Filters</td>
<td>Thermal Control</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sky Coverage</td>
<td>Computing Resource Needs</td>
<td>US-S4 Site Evaluation</td>
<td>Cost of CCATP-style Telescope</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric Modeling</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPEC
• **Scientific issues.** One can identify schematically five key scientific issues that cluster a series of questions. All infrastructures studied in the present scientific council address in one way or another the 5 key issues below:

1. **The multi-messenger or “cosmic ray origin’ issue.** It concerns the way that different probes (photons, CR, neutrinos and gravitational waves) are related between them. In this theme more specific questions are:
   - Can we find point-sources of UHE cosmic rays?
   - Can we find point-sources of HE neutrinos? What is then the mixture of galactic and extragalactic sources?
   - What is the relationship between high energy ν and high energy γ production? Can we find common point-sources? What is the relationship between UHE cosmic rays and ν? These are questions addressing explicitly the issue of the origin of cosmic rays. But also, can we detect supernova (low energy) ν?
   - Last but not least, can we localise sufficiently GW sources to be able to identify follow-up events in the other probes (low and high energy γ or ν)?

2. **The dark matter issue.** Key sub-issues are:
   - Can we separate standard astrophysical processes from dark matter annihilation or decay at the multi-messenger infrastructures?
   - Once indices of discovery of dark matter are produced in indirect, direct and/or LHC searches can we pin-down its properties (mass, cross section, distribution, etc.)
III. The cosmic structure issue. For the first time, the cosmological survey sensitivities have reached the level that permits to “unify” the visible Universe from the recombination era (400,000y) to the present and thus:

- Probe the distribution of dark matter, neutrino number and mass, using CMB studies, large “nearby” surveys for dark energy (z<2), galaxy distributions, reionisation etc.
- In this context it is necessary to understand and compare measurements of neutrino number and mass on earth with cosmological ones. Eventual discrepancies may point to new physics beyond the standard model of particle physics and/or cosmology. On what concerns the subject of this report, the high energy neutrino infrastructures will attack the issue of neutrino masses and nature (sterile) (e.g. ORCA/ARCA).

IV. The gravitation and cosmology issue. The sub-issues here are:

- the full development of gravitational wave astronomy, in order to study the formation of galaxies, the existence of phase transitions in the Universe, eventually to also use the GW events as “standard sirens” to probe cosmological parameters
- the discovery of the gravitational imprints of inflation on the CMB polarisation

V. The new physics issue. The study of very high energy events, or events close to the "strong limit" of gravitation, or events testing Lorenz symmetry, open obviously the access to new physics for all infrastructures studied in this report.
Projected annual capital investment – instrument prototyping and construction, excluding manpower – and annual running costs – consumables and shift taking expenses i.e. travel and manpower (shaded areas) – anticipated from the European astroparticle physics funding agencies required to realize the APPEC European Strategy for Astroparticle Physics. Costs related to the actual scientific exploitation are not considered in this projection. Also excluded from this projection are other, often substantial, contributions from regional and EU structural funds, from European astronomy, from European particle physics (DUNE) and contributions from our non-European partners (in particular large v-mixing infrastructures).
Organisational issues (a personal selection). IN2P3 will need to accompany the building up of CTA, KM3Net, Auger-prime, the upgrade path of Virgo, preparation of LISA.

I. The strict observance of models of sustainable and cost effective operation will be a strong prerequisite for success. In particular CTA and KM3Net being the first very large infrastructures of Astroparticle Physics to be deployed in the coming years, is a key test of the capabilities of the community and the agencies to develop a sustainable model of operation for large APP infrastructures. Non-optimal policies in the deployment and operation costs can become prohibitive for the emergence of future infrastructures, as is shown in other domains.

II. A second important issue is the coordination CERN (upcoming Strategy exercise) and ESO as well as with space projects and the relevant agencies (CNES, ESA...). The case is clear for the Gravitational waves domain, where one has a complementary strong program on ground and space, but can also be very important to have low energy photon detection in space for the follow-up of the same gravitational wave events (see e.g. the scientific case of SVOM).

III. Thirdly, the program needs to be accompanied with a strong R&D program a well thought data policy and data management.

IV. Last but not least, IN2P3 should not neglect to promote the interdisciplinary potential of the domain, since it is rich and would increase the resilience of the funding schemes. There are synergies with geoscience in deep sea high energy neutrino infrastructures but also GW techniques (seismology, deep earth study), deep biology in KM3Net, climate studies in CTA, AUGER and KM3Net, etc. A coordination with relevant national and European agencies should probably be sought.
Conclusions

- In the last 30 years, we have seen
  - the detection of Supernova 1987A and the Crab in HE γ 1989
  - 3 major paradigm-changing discoveries in the 90’s
    - CMB fluctuations
    - Confirmation of neutrino oscillation and mass
    - Dark energy
  - In the 2010’s Gravitational waves
  - The longest series of Nobel prizes for an emerging domain

- What can we reasonably expect in the coming 10-15 years?
  1. The development of multi-messenger astronomy
  2. Dark matter sensitivities close to the parameter limits of our current theories, and ultimate precisions measurements in inflation and dark energy,
  3. A determination of the neutrino masses, number and CP violation and their interplay with cosmology

- The infrastructures under study can contribute to all